

**CHARLOTTE COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY**

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING – APRIL 20, 2017 – 9:00 A.M.**

5

**1. Call to Order**

**2. Invocation**

10           Commissioner Deutsch gave the invocation.

**3. Pledge of Allegiance**

**4. Roll Call**

15

**Present:** Vice-Chair Herston; Commissioners Coppola, Hancik and Seay; Executive Director Parish; Attorney Carr; Mrs. Cauley; Ms. Desguin; Mr. Laroche; Mr. Mallard; Mr. Ridenour; Mrs. Jennifer Smith; Mrs. Judy Smith. **Others present:** Commissioner Deutsch, Councilwoman Prafke, Jim Kaletta, Alan Boynton, Mark Kistler, Jeff Tuscan, Lionel Schuman, Mike Mapstone, Bob Herrington, Gary Harrell, Stan Smith, Bret White, Dave Goode, Gary Bayne, Ned Rose, Jim Deutsch, Joe Makray and other people from the private sector. **Absent:** Chair Andrews.

20

**5. Citizen’s Input**

25

**Lionel Schuman** – Commented on the Aviation Appreciation Day barbeque and it was very much appreciated by all.

30

**Gary Jacobs** – Suggested for the Canadians who want a hangar should be on an “only if space is available basis”. He commented on people having just airplane parts in a hangar and being full of other things and the hangar being used for car repairs and storage.

35

**Stan Smith** – He thanked the Authority for Aviation Appreciation Day and commented that it was well run. He commented on his background. He commented on the hangars. He commented that he would be happy to go and talk aviation to any of the schools.

**6. Additions and/or Deletions to the Agenda**

40

Mr. Parish asked that the Audit Presentation be moved to after the Consent Agenda.

**7. Consent Agenda**

45

**Commissioner Seay made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

**14. New Business**

5 a) **Audit Presentation** – Jeff Tuscan pointed out that the opinion section on page two of the audit and that it is the highest level of opinion and auditor can issue. (copy of the audit attached) He commented on the FRS liability increase and that is not a bill the Authority must pay because it changes every year. Commissioner Hancik asked if the liability must be covered by cash or is it a paper thing. Mr. Tuscan commented that it is a paper thing at this point because there is not a requirement. He commented on the Management's Discussion and Analysis that compares this year to the past year. He commented on the compliance test and that the Authority has already taken corrective action on their fixed assets control. He commented that the Authority complies on their grants and in compliance with where the Authority's cash and investments are held. He commented that the graphs allow the Authority to compare the trend analysis. He went over the graphs (copy attached). Vice-Chair Herston asked about depreciation assets being separated. Mr. Tuscan commented it could be done but there is really no need because the Authority does not pay taxes. Commissioner Coppola asked if there was enough in the reserve account for repairs. Mr. Tuscan commented that graph five shows the amount in reserves and is in better shape than three years ago. He commented on grants. Mr. Parish commented on the monies that are waiting to be collected from the FAA for the fire truck and bag belt system which will bring the reserves back up. Mr. Tuscan that the Authority operates as an enterprise fund and are supposed to abide by the same method as a for profit business would and there is talk about changes. He commented that he will be holding the seminar in October and will have more information. **Commissioner Seay made the motion to approve the audit. Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

## 25 8. Secretary/Treasurer's Report

Mr. Parish reported on the income and expense statement. He commented that the account receivables are down considerably and will be writing off a couple of small debts. Vice-Chair Herston commented on the payment to Fire/EMS. Mr. Parish reported that January and February showed the payments.

## 9. Committee Reports

35 a) **Land Use** - Vice-Chair Herston reported that there are a lot of big projects out there on the private side.

40 b) **Metropolitan Planning Organization** – Vice-Chair Herston commented on the rest area for I-75 and the upcoming dates for various MPO meetings and that he had some information he will give to Commissioner Seay.

c) **Personnel** – Commissioner Coppola had nothing to report.

45 d) **Public Relations/Special Events** – Commissioner Coppola commented on the plaque the Authority received from the warbird group that was at the airport, the tourism luncheon, Florida Sheriff's Youth Ranch fundraiser, public safety appreciation luncheon, press articles and the upcoming Florida Airports Council conference.

5 e) **Governmental Liaison** – Commissioner Seay reported that Jerry Paul and Rob Shave the Authority’s legislative liaisons gave her a report which stated that the budget has not been completed. She suggested that the Authority should be planning ahead as there may be funding available and to look at that prior to October. She commented on some of things that may affect the airport and they include a statewide oversight of the transportation network companies which has gone to the Governor for signature. She commented on the article about the Tampa International Airport and the proposed overspending of their terminal project.

10 f) **Economic Development** – Mr. Parish reported that the Authority has just completed their Economic Impact Study that will be presented at the workshop next month.

15 g) **Aviation Activities Report** – Commissioner Hancik commented the passenger report is positive and fuel sales. He commented that he would like to see the revenue that is generated by the gallons pumped to the airline. Mr. Parish commented that Allegiant is bringing a proposal to expand the fuel farm. He explained they would pay for it and the Authority would renegotiate with the fuel supplier a gallon fee that would pay for the fuel farm. There was discussion on fuel prices. Vice-Chair Herston asked if Commissioner Hancik wants the per million gallons. Commissioner Hancik commented he wants it on a month by month basis by what is pumped. He commented on the costs involved with a fuel farm. He reported on the tower operations and T-hangar vacancies.

## 25 10. Attorney’s Report

30 Attorney Carr reported that on the POA litigation he has asked for a Stay on any further proceedings due to rise in legal fees. He commented that he has worked on the interlocal agreement associated with the fire fighters and will be sent to the County after approval of a draft. He commented that there was a meeting with Western Michigan University to get more details as to what they would like as deliverables and they are still formulating that. He commented that WMU are to draft a format that will be incorporated into something the Authority can live with and put into a working document. Commissioner Coppola asked when construction will start. Mr. Parish explained they will move into their building July 1<sup>st</sup> and the County leased the building and they are doing the modifications to it. Commissioner Deutsch comments were inaudible as he did not go to the podium. Commissioner Seay commented that she attended an event and there were people asking about how they can enroll. She went over the three programs Western Michigan will be offering. She commented that Western Michigan University is hiring people. Commissioner Coppola commented on the scholarships offered by the Florida Airports Council (FAC). Mr. Parish commented that FAC has reached out to Western Michigan.

## 45 11. Director’s Report

45 a) **Development Update** – Mr. Parish reported on the T-hangar door replacement going back out to bid and will be addressed later on the agenda, close out of the parking lot expansion, taxiway alpha will be inspected by the FDOT, the closeout documents have

5 been sent to the FAA and FDOT on the terminal and staff needs to talk with the FAA on some issues with the closeout, airfield maintenance equipment was rebid and will be covered under Old Business on the agenda, Western Michigan and employee parking lot is under construction, rental car expansion is underway, the air carrier ramp expansion is ongoing and the crash bar repairs in the parking lot. He commented that the airport was designated as a Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) facility which allows for additional grant sources with FDOT.

10 **b) Master Plan** – Mr. Parish reported that the consultants will be here to discuss the alternative analysis for the terminal complex. He commented that once it is all together there will be another public meeting as well as a stakeholder meeting. He commented that they have kicked off the environmental assessment. Commissioner Hancik asked about the environmental assessment moving up. Mr. Parish commented that they are doing everything they can before the alternatives are done.

15 **c) Gulf Contours Tour** – Mr. Parish commented that Mr. Goin has requested that after the meeting for the Board to stop over at his facility to take a tour. He commented that staff will be working on a new lease.

20 **d) Western Michigan University Update** – Mr. Parish reported that there was a meeting with them to discuss the steps to move forward. He commented that the Authority gave them a lease back in December of 2015 and touched on a few things and then it went silent. He explained that before FDOT can enter into a JPA with the Authority to build portions of WMU's facility but the Authority must have an agreement with WMU. He commented that he and Attorney Carr are working with them to put together a document that will outline the steps and then be followed by a lease. He commented that things are progressing and WMU is moving into a temporary facility. Commissioner Coppola asked if FDOT had a situation like this where a college wanted to move onto an airport. Mr. Parish gave examples of other airports that have a college. He commented that when FDOT gives the Authority money it is money that the Authority has generated through fuel sales tax and the FDOT expects the Authority to make a return on the money. He commented that whatever goes into that project must be matched by WMU and must be eligible for the project under FDOT rules and there must be a lease between the Authority and WMU.

35 **e) Enterprise Car Rental – Automated Car Wash** – Mr. Parish explained that Enterprise Car Rental is looking at automating their car wash and have requested that the Authority participate in the water, sewer and electric requirements and those will stay here. He commented the Authority can either say yes or no. He commented that the fees are estimated between \$26,000 and \$36,000. He commented that Enterprise will be putting in about \$125,000 worth of equipment. He is hoping big enough service can be brought in in case another rental car company wants to do it. Commissioner Hancik commented on the Authority paying for the car wash facility and fuel tanks. Mr. Parish commented that the Authority received a 3,000-gallon tank for free. Vice-Chair Herston asked if it will benefit the Authority with their future expansion and maybe pay part of it. Mr. Parish explained the plan is to tap the city line and to tap the overhead three phase electric. Vice-Chair Herston asked how much the three phase would cost. Mr. Parish commented that it is

40

45

5 between \$19,000 and \$27,000 and water is a short run at \$7,000 or \$9,000. Commissioner  
Coppola asked how much a year the Authority makes on the rental cars. Mr. Parish  
commented that it is \$3.2 million. Commissioner Hancik commented that he has issues  
providing subsidies. He commented that with the Master Plan and with their growth there  
10 may be a consolidated rental car facility somewhere else on the airport. Commissioner Seay  
commented that it is worth considering. She asked what are the benefits to the Authority  
and the growth potential for the rental car companies and what is the bottom line back to  
the Authority. Mr. Parish commented that when discussion started on this would speed up  
the washing process which will slow the need for expansion. He commented on how the  
15 rental car fee to the Authority is set up. He commented he was looking for consensus to do  
it or not. He commented that Enterprise just signed a three-year deal with Allegiant.  
Commissioner Coppola asked where the costs came from. Mr. Ridenour explained that he  
got prices from contractors, Florida Power & Light and the City of Punta Gorda. There was  
discussion on the fees and if they can be recaptured and how. Mr. Parish explained how  
the fueling facility for the rental cars was accomplished and the income derived from it.  
There was consensus to look into recapturing the funds the Authority would spend.

20 **f) FASD (Orlando, FL June 12-15)** – Mr. Parish commented that the Board approved  
travel to the Florida Association of Special Districts conference and no one has indicated  
they are attending. He asked that they let Mrs. Smith or Ms. Laishley know.

## 12. Citizen's Input

25 **Joe Makray** – Commented that he is on the waiting list for a hangar and wanted to know  
what the Authority can do with those who have other things in their hangars other than an  
airplane. Mr. Parish commented that all the non-aviation tenants have been removed from  
the hangars. Mr. Makray commented that there are some that are full of junk. Mr. Parish  
commented that hangar inspections are done and are removing those who are not meeting  
30 the FAA standards for T-hangar occupancy. He asked that Mr. Makray let the FBO know  
what hangars he feels are in violation.

**Meeting recessed at 10:40 a.m. and reconvened at 10:51 a.m.**

## 13. Old Business

35 **a) Airfield Mulcher Equipment Award** – Mr. Parish explained that the Authority put out  
a bid for a mulcher to cut underbrush and received one bid. He commented that FDOT  
asked that the Authority rebid it and have received four bids. He commented staff sent the  
FDOT a recommendation of award and they have concurred. He asked for a motion to  
40 award the bid to Marden Industries, Inc. d/b/a Supertrak. **Commissioner Hancik made  
the motion to award the bid to Marden Industries, Inc. d/b/a Supertrak.  
Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

45 **b) PFC** – Commissioner Hancik stated for the record that he wanted to express his concerns  
about the \$2.50 PFC Passenger Facility Charge which was probably recommended by  
AECOM, the Authority's consultant. He commented that the \$2.50 will reimburse the

Authority for half of the project plus wetland mitigation. He commented that staff said that \$2.5 million that is leftover for wetland mitigation is short by \$2.5 million dollars. He read a quote from the newspaper. He commented that what he is saying is if the wetland mitigation cost is \$5 million the PFC should have been higher. He commented that he understands what the FAA says and that the PFC relationship to an airport putting it in place is subject to FAA approval, airline input and approval. He commented that he has not been in the business for a while but he does not know of any airport that the PFC request has not been justified. He commented that as he understands it, the Authority has a justifiable PFC program that would assure the money. He commented that he understands that the Authority can go back and reup it. He opined it would have been nice to have all the money for the wetland mitigation program fully funded and moving forward with it. He commented that at some point in time the Authority needs to get going even though the Authority has not started to collect the PFC from July. He commented that the Authority needs to consider the amendment process from now for the wetland mitigation if that is the way the Authority wants to go on projects. He commented he understands that the Authority needs entitlement money but entitlement money is a valuable source of income for other types of projects other than wetland mitigation. Vice-Chair Herston commented that wetland mitigation bank prices fluctuate up and down and it would be time of need and permitting through the Corps. Commissioner Hancik commented on the money for the wetland mitigation. Mr. Parish explained that the Authority will be doing a PFC application shortly after the Master Plan is completed for \$2.00 per enplanement. Commissioner Coppola asked if Commissioner Hancik wants to raise it. Commissioner Hancik commented that he never saw what the consultant justified for what the PFC should be based on by the Capital Improvement Program. He commented for the record that \$2.00 is insufficient and the consultant should have recognized it.

**b) Revenue Loss Due to ARFF Expense** – Commissioner Hancik stated for the record the Authority is, and should, be paying the County for Crash Fire Rescue services for \$600,00.00 plus a year. He opined it is a revenue loss. He commented that the loss and the cost to the Authority is directly related to the airline service. He asked if the Authority should be looking at opening up the airline contract to recover the \$600,000.00. He commented that Allegiant would have to raise their ticket prices. He asked what the Authority is doing to recover that \$600,000.00 and directly relate that expense to the airline. He understands the argument and current philosophy that the airport is getting plenty of money from rental cars and the parking lot. He commented that the Authority gets very little money from the airline operating fees. He commented that there is a fear that Allegiant will pick up and leave. He opined that he did not think that will happen but there is always a risk. Commissioner Coppola asked if the airline will pick up and leave. Commissioner Hancik commented that is why they don't have a landing fee and it is very inexpensive to come to the airport. He opined that at some point in time the Authority needs to recover some revenue stream that is for everything the airline uses. He commented that it is his personal opinion and based on his experience and wanted to get that in the record. Commissioner Seay commented that the Authority is talking about the funding of the airport and make sure it operates as it should. She commented on the three parts to the airport and the three parts need to work together to assure proper operations. She commented the income the Authority receives from those areas goes to support all the

airport. She commented on the income directly received from the airlines which include fuel pumped and rentals. She commented there are indirect receipts which include \$3.2 million from rental cars and parking lot revenue. She commented that she understands Commissioner Hancik's concerns and desires and is on the right track but opined it is premature for the Authority to consider adding costs to an airline that is already putting in a great deal of money. She commented on establishing Punta Gorda as a destination so Allegiant does not want to leave. Commissioner Hancik commented on subsidizing airlines to establish a market and that Allegiant has established a market. He commented on there not being revenue coming from the airline itself. He commented that he is an old-school airport guy and that is where all his comments come from. He commented he understands times have changed. Mr. Parish commented on the PFC's that Allegiant passengers pay. Commissioner Seay commented on airline subsidies and when the subsidies go away so does the airlines. She commented on if the airline goes away so does the revenue from the rental cars and parking lots. She commented the model the Authority has created and is a positive. She commented as Commissioner Hancik indicated looking at it in the future maybe the Authority does need to readdress it but as it stands now she opined that the Authority has a very good model that is working well for this airport and shows in the audit report that the Authority is doing well. Commissioner Hancik commented that the Authority operates as an Enterprise Fund and are in business, somewhat, to make money. He commented that he just wanted on the record that he strongly supports a higher PFC and the Authority needs to recover the \$600,000.00 for Allegiant operating. Mr. Parish commented that Allegiant is talking to the Authority about expansion of service. He commented that if Allegiant can't make money they will not be here. He commented on the fees a passenger pays and entitlement funding. He commented that the Authority's model works for the Punta Gorda Airport, it works for St. Augustine and Pease but does not work for everybody. Commissioner Hancik commented that he just wanted to be on the record his thoughts about it.

**c) Title Change** – Commissioner Hancik commented that he has been asked questions about Mr. Parish changing his title to President and Chief Executive Officer and how did that come about. Mr. Parish explained that when he became Executive Director he called Chair Andrews and asked him if he had any concerns with him changing the title. He commented that he wanted to change the entire structure and there was confusion over the director names that were being used for him and Mr. Mallard. He commented that it is very common in the airports that are run by themselves. He named some of the titles at other airports. Commissioner Seay commented that as Commissioner Hancik pointed out that the Authority is being run as a business and it makes sense. She commented on the structure of titles for airports that are a department of a city or county. Vice-Chair Herston asked if the Authority was to have a corporate image does everyone's title need to be addressed. Mr. Parish commented that he has done that with some of the previous titles. He commented that he does not have an opinion on it. Vice-Chair Herston suggested seeing the organizational chart and suggested bringing it back up at the workshop and then vote on it at the next meeting.

#### 14. New Business

5           **b) FAC (Miami, FL July 23-26)** – Mr. Parish explained the Florida Airports Council meeting is Miami and travel needs to be approved for the Board. **Commissioner Seay made the motion to approve travel the Board and staff who wish to attend the Florida Airports Council conference. Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

10           **c) ECEC** – Mr. Parish commented that he needs some clarification. He commented that he is a board member on ECEC board. He commented that the Authority is a permanent member which means he is a voting member voting as the Authority representative. He commented that he does not have the ability to talk with the Authority's Board members before he votes. He commented that he has abstained from votes that are conflicts. He asked for the Board's opinion and how do they want him to proceed. Commissioner Seay asked what other governmental entities are on the ECEC board. Mr. Parish commented that the Authority is the only one. Commissioner Hancik opined that the Authority is an economic generator for the community and should have a member there. Mr. Parish commented that the County's economic office is the staff for the ECEC but are not voting members. Vice-Chair Herston asked if it would be wise if the Authority refrained from being voting members. He asked if Mr. Parish could resign as a voting member. Commissioner Seay suggested if it relates to the airport, abstain if not go ahead and vote. Mr. Parish commented he may support something that multiple Board members may not. Councilwoman Prafke explained that the City are not members but they attend the luncheon following the ECEC Board meeting. She commented further but was inaudible because she did not go to the podium. Attorney Carr asked if Mr. Parish is a voting member because he was elected or because of a contribution made by the Authority. Mr. Parish commented originally the Authority was elected and this year they voted to select certain permanent members. He commented that the Authority is one of those because the ECEC feels that the Authority is influential for economic development. He commented that his concern is that the Authority is the only governmental entity that has a voting position on their Board. Attorney Carr commented that Mr. Parish could permanently abstain. Mr. Parish commented that the Authority wants to be on the Board to influence things but does the Authority want to be a voting member. Attorney Carr commented that Mr. Parish can be a voting member as long as he permanently abstains and he would be there for input but when a vote is made he abstains permanently because he represents an elected body. He opined that is a feasible posture assuming the ECEC is acceptable to that. Mr. Parish suggested that Attorney Carr and he draft a letter to the ECEC Board making that the Authority Board's recommendation.

35           **d) SJPA Resolution 2017-02 T-Hangar Doors** – Mr. Parish explained this item is a Supplemental Joint Participation Agreement with FDOT. He commented that this is the second installment to the agreement. He commented that there needs to be approval of the Resolution. **Commissioner Seay made the motion to approve Resolution 2017-02 for the T-hangar door replacement project for \$350,000.00. Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

40           **e) T-Hangar Development RFP** – Mr. Parish explained that there is a waiting list for hangars. He commented that he would like to put together a RFP for a public/private

partnership where a private entity comes in and leases the land and builds the hangars so the Authority is not financing the project. He commented that there is very little FDOT money for T-hangar projects. He commented that he would like to get a deposit from those on the waiting list that way the developer would know that there are interested people. He commented that there could be a management agreement where the Authority would continue to lease the hangars and get a small portion of the lease amount for managing it. Commissioner Coppola asked if the Authority would be conducting the inspections. Mr. Parish commented that if it is on the airport it is a requirement. Commissioner Hancik commented that this is a common practice at airports. He suggested not limiting it just to T-hangars but box hangars as well. Commissioner Seay asked it would be north of the 600 series. Mr. Parish commented that it would be north of 605 and it would be 606. **Commissioner Seay direct staff to prepare a RFP that would pursue private hangar construction and development on land north of the 600 series. Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

**f) New Cell Tower** – Mr. Parish explained that a cell tower developer has determined that a cell tower needs to go off Challenger Blvd. He commented that he does not know if it would be approved by the County. He commented that he would like to spend the money for an Airspace Study to make sure it will not interfere with everything that the airport is currently doing. He commented that the reasons he would prefer it is on the airport is the Authority could control it and if they are not on the airport they could go across Challenger Blvd. and the airport would have all the same problems but less control. He explained what the study will consist of. Commissioner Hancik commented he understands the concern about it going across the street. Mr. Parish asked if the Board wants to say no and then track to where they ultimately go and make sure it does not affect the airport. He commented that the Authority may have to do a study anyway to fight it or proceed with the study and find out if the Authority should say no. Discussion ensued. Mr. Parish commented that he wants to make sure it doesn't detrimentally affect aircraft whether on Authority property or across the street. Commissioner Seay opined that she would like to see a study. She opined that the Authority would have some ammunition to fight it if it is bad for the airport. **Commissioner Seay made the motion to approve spending \$2,400 for the study.** Commissioner Coppola asked if they are going to build it here or across the street. Mr. Parish commented that those are the two locations. **Commissioner Coppola seconded.** Commissioner Hancik commented that he sees what Mr. Parish is saying but there will be an opportunity in the future, if it goes across the street, to fight it. **Commissioner Seay and Vice-Chair Herston cast yea votes. Commissioners Coppola and Hancik cast nay votes.** Commissioner Seay asked that it be placed on the agenda again for the next meeting. Commissioner Hancik commented that it affects 9/27 approach. Commissioner Seay commented that having a study would make the Authority's argument stronger to protect the airport whether it is on the Authority's side of the street or on the other side of the street. Commissioner Hancik suggested finding out where they are going to put the tower before doing a study.

**g) Minimum Standards** – Mr. Parish commented that in December an updated Minimum Standards was distributed and is on the website. He commented that the Authority requested comments from airport stakeholders and they have been received and addressed.

He explained that Minimum Standards were developed by Kaplan and Kirsch the Authority's aviation attorney's. He commented that the Authority's Minimum Standards have not been updated in almost twenty years. Commissioner Hancik commented that he just got them and asked who are the stakeholders. Mr. Parish explained that they are the users of the airport and were e-mailed a notice. Commissioner Hancik commented that he has some questions. Commissioner Seay asked if these are the same Minimum Standards that they received in December. Mr. Parish commented that there were several comments that have been incorporated into the new document. Commissioner Seay suggested that since the document has been amended to send to the Board a copy of the changes. She commented that she did not see a reason to workshop this and to put it on the agenda for the next meeting.

**h) IDA Land Lease Swap** – Mr. Parish explained that a few years ago the IDA had come to Mr. Quill and requested a swap for approximately 120 acres of land for a parcel on the airport. He opined that Mr. Quill was never comfortable with a land swap. He commented that they have come to him with the same question. He commented that he suggested the land for a thirty-year lease so the Authority is not losing their land. He commented that with the appraisal rates it could be a twenty-acre swap for the IDA's 120 acres. He commented before he moves forward he would like to know if the Board has any feelings on it and it would have to go to the FAA for approval. He commented that he has concerns that he does not want another entity to have a piece of land that can be used for a developer for free when the Airport Authority is trying to lease land. He commented that there would have to be a schedule when they must build. Commissioner Seay asked what is the likelihood that the Authority would use the IDA property for anything. Mr. Parish commented that the use is limited and some of the constraints with the property are access and other problems. He commented that he is asking if the Board wants to move forward with this. He commented that Mr. Quill and the Board in the past has said they needed the land. He commented that this is a mechanism to get the land and is not sure the FAA would approve it or if the County Commission would approve it. Commissioner Hancik asked if this was on the Master Plan and commented on the cost of developing it. Mr. Parish commented on the security issues and that ultimately the Airport Authority needs to control it. There was further discussion on this item. Commissioner Seay asked if the IDA has someone in mind. Mr. Parish commented that the IDA indicated they can build out five acres. Commissioner Seay suggested discussing this with Commissioner Deutsch. Mr. Parish commented that he will bring this back at a later date. Attorney Carr commented that the land is owned by Charlotte County. Mr. Parish commented that he has been meeting with the IDA and the IDA's attorney and he thinks the County deeded it to the Industrial Development Authority (IDA).

**i) Board Workshop** – Mr. Parish explained the Authority has a workshop scheduled in May and there are some items that need a vote. He suggested scheduling a Special Meeting immediately preceding the workshop at 8:45. **Commissioner Seay made the motion to schedule a Special Meeting of the Airport Authority at 8:45 a.m. May 18<sup>th</sup> preceding the Authority's workshop which will immediately follow the Special Meeting. Commissioner Coppola seconded. Motion passed unanimously.**

